

## Examination of Leeds City Council Core Strategy

---

Mr S Speak  
Deputy Chief Planning Officer  
Leeds City Council  
City Development  
The Leonardo Building  
2 Rossington Street  
LEEDS  
LS2 8HD  
By email only

**Inspector: Anthony Thickett BA(Hons) BTP  
MRTPI Dip RSA**

**Programme Officer: Helen Wilson**  
Tel: 01527 65741  
E mail: progofficer@aol.com

Date 4 February 2014

Dear Mr Speak,

Thank you for your letter of 3 February.

My apologies for not being clear with regard to the revision to Policy H7 regarding travelling showpeople. Although I am content with the evidence, I consider that the all the proposed modifications to the policy should be subject to the separate consultation exercise.

### Queries relating to the content of the Main Modifications

For ease of reference I have copied your questions in full, with my response in italics.

- IMM1 after 'Main Urban Area' I assume 'with' should be struck through as deleted. *Agreed, schedule amended accordingly.*
- IMM1 should it say 'unchanged' after (ix). *Agreed, schedule amended accordingly.*
- MM4 as the range of housing to be provided has been removed then 'between' and 'and' should be deleted. *Agreed, schedule amended accordingly.*
- MM8 in (ix) the word 'links' should be underlined as new text. *'Links' is in the pre submission changes so is not new text.*
- MM21 The Councils modification MM12 included an incorrect table at para 5.2.46. The first two rows referring to "small" developments have been superseded by the new "small" on row 3 and should therefore be deleted. *Noted, schedule amended.*
- MM22 The Councils modification includes two paras numbered 5.4.26. The modification relates to text that should be re-numbered 5.4.27. *Noted, schedule amended.*

- MM24 for clarity, after the words ‘Exceptions would apply where’ it is suggested adding ‘either (iii) or (iv) below are applicable’. *Agreed, schedule amended accordingly.*
- MM25 it is assumed that ‘Spatial Policy 8 and 9’ should read ‘Spatial Policy 9’ and that ‘8’ should therefore be shown deleted. *Spatial Policy 8(iii) refers to job retention as well as creation but the Council has my permission to delete reference to Spatial Policy 8 from this modification if it wishes.*
- MM25 the last sentence in 5.2.57 repeats the last sentence in 5.2.56 and it would seem that the whole of 5.2.57 can therefore be deleted. *Agreed, schedule amended accordingly.*
- MM28 part B has a typo, it should read ‘No change’. *Agreed, schedule amended accordingly.*
- MM28 in the third row of the table ‘0-’ should be deleted and it should simply be ‘1,500+'. *Noted, schedule amended accordingly.*
- MM31 after the new text ‘response which copies the host’ should presumably be shown struck through. *Agreed, schedule amended accordingly.*
- MM32 ‘The Council maintains’ is shown deleted in the Council’s proposed wording. However, on re-reading this does not make sense if it is deleted and it is therefore suggested that the wording be retained. *Agreed, schedule amended accordingly.*

In addition it is noted that you have not included the changes to paragraph 5.2.41, included in the Council’s MM12 proposed deletion of the percentages in the interests of clarity. *Noted, schedule amended.*

---

---

As to when my letter (now letters) and the schedule should be put on the web site, the fact that I would provide you with some main modifications by 31 January is public knowledge and Mrs Wilson has received a number of queries. I understand that Mrs Wilson has discussed this with Mr Bodiya. In light of the public interest, I consider that the schedule should be published but with a statement to the effect that they are not yet subject to consultation and that I will not accept any submissions on them until they are subject to formal consultation. It would be helpful if you could indicate when that is likely to be.

Yours faithfully  
*A Thickett*  
Inspector